

S. C. Snowdon

Date September

Theory Category

0106

Section

Page
1 of 11
Serial

TM-44

Subject

CONVENTIONAL BENDING MAGNETS FOR STORAGE RING

# Aperture Considerations

Keil<sup>1</sup> has calculated the aperture requirements for injecting a 100 GeV beam from the main accelerator into a storage ring having one-third the radius of the main ring. In order to reduce the radial aperture, he employs a phase plane interchange during transfer. A. van Steenbergen<sup>2</sup> and Keil<sup>3</sup> suggested that a full aperture kicker be employed to eliminate the screening distance between the injected beam and the stacked beam.

The option developed here utilizes the phase plane interchange but not the full aperture kicker, other options will be considered later. Keil's calculations are developed further with the aid of a simple FODO lattice whose basic parameters were given by Teng. We further adopt the beam emittances listed in the Design Report at 200 GeV and alter them by the momentum ratio for 100 GeV.

#### Beam Emittance in Main Accelerator

$$E_{H} = .23 \times \frac{200.94}{100.93} \pi = .458 \pi mm-mrad$$

$$E_V = .09 \times \frac{200.94}{100.93} \pi = .179 \pi \text{ mm-mrad}$$

# Interchange of Phase Space in Transport Channel

Assume a dilution factor of 2 in transfer.

$$E_{H}$$
 = 2 × .179  $\pi$  = .358  $\pi$  mm-mrad,

$$E_{_{\mathrm{V}}}$$
 = 2  $\times$  .458  $\pi$  = .916  $\pi$  mm-mrad.

# Three-Turn Radial Injection into Storage Ring

$$E_{H} = (2 + 1/3)^{2} \times .358 \pi = 1.949 \pi mm-mrad,$$

$$E_V = .916 \pi mm - mrad.$$

### Beam Radii

Divide the magnet between the focusing and defocusing quadrupoles into four magnets to permit reduction of the effects of sagitta and more frequent access for pumps. From the focusing quadrupole (QF) designate the magnets B11, B12, B21, B22, QD. Using  $a_x = (\beta_x E_H/\pi)^{1/2}$ ,  $a_y = (\beta_y E_V/\pi)^{1/2}$ , the program TRACEX gives

	a <sub>x</sub> (mm)	a (mm)
QF	8.45	2.77
B11	7.31	3.71
B12	6.50	<b>4.2</b> 5
B21	5.69	4.79
B22	4.99	5.37
$_{ m QD}$	4.04	5.79

### Closed-Orbit Errors

Assuming rms errors of

$$\Delta B/B = \Delta L_{MAG}/L_{MAG} = \Delta \theta_{MAG} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$\Delta X(QUAD) = \Delta Y(QUAD) = 10^{-4} m.$$

$$\langle Vx \rangle = \frac{2 \times 72}{4 \times 1/2} \cdot \left( \frac{7.35 \times 20}{4 \times 3366.8} \right)^{2} \cdot (27.45 + 21.67 + 16.59 + 12.76)$$

$$\times (25 \times 10^{-8} + 25 \times 10^{-8}) + \frac{72}{4 \times 1/2} \cdot \left( \frac{384 \times 10^{-4}}{3366.8} \right)^{2} \cdot (36.64 + 8.38)$$

$$= 54.74 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}.$$

$$\langle \text{Vy} \rangle = \frac{2 \times 72}{4 \times 1/2} \cdot \left( \frac{7.35 \times 20}{4 \times 3366.8} \right)^{2} \cdot (14.99 + 19.67 + 25.09 + 31.45)$$

$$\times (25 \times 10^{-8} + 25 \times 10^{-8}) + \frac{72}{4 \times 1/2} \cdot \left( \frac{384 \times 10^{-4}}{3366.8} \right)^{2} \cdot (8.38 + 36.64)$$

$$= 60.20 \times 10^{-8} \,\text{m}.$$

Keil<sup>4</sup> and Laslett<sup>5</sup> indicate that, with 98 percent probability, the closed-orbit deviation is less than  $(3.5/\sqrt{2}) \cdot \sqrt{\beta} \langle V \rangle$ . L. Smith<sup>6</sup> indicates that with 70 percent probability the closed-orbit deviation is less than  $(2.5/\sqrt{2}) \sqrt{\beta} \langle V \rangle$ . The 70 percent allowances are listed below

	$CO_{\mathbf{x}}(mm)$	CO <sub>y</sub> (mm)
QF	± 7.93	± 3.96
B11	$\pm 6.87$	$\pm 5.31$
B12	±6.09	±6.09
B21	$\pm 5.33$	$\pm 6.89$
B <b>22</b>	± 4.67	$\pm 7.70$
$_{ m QD}$	$\pm 3.79$	±8.32

# Sagitta (8 $\times$ 72 Magnet Blocks)

$$\frac{(7.35/4)^2}{8 \times 168.34} = 2.507 \text{ mm} = \pm 1.25 \text{ mm}.$$

# Gradient Errors ( $\delta B' = .01B'$ )

$$\langle \delta v^2 \rangle = \frac{72}{4\pi^2} \cdot \left( \frac{.01 \times 384}{3366.8} \right)^2 \cdot \left[ (36.64)^2 + (8.38)^2 \right]$$
  
 $\delta v = .0579; \Delta B/B = \pi \times .0579/1.0 = .1819.$ 

### This gives

	$\delta x (mm)$	δy (mm)	
QF	±.74	±.24	
B11	±.64	±.32	
B12	±.57	±.37	
B21	±.50	± .42	
B22	± .43	±.47	
$_{ m QD}$	±.35	±.50	

# Radial Spread in Beam Due to Momentum Spread

	Injected	Stacked
Δp/p	$\pm 10^{-3}/20$	± 10 <sup>-3</sup>
$_{ m QF}$	±.08	±1.62
B11	±.08	$\pm 1.51$
B12	±.07	$\pm 1.35$
B21	±.06	±1.16
B22	±.05	±.98
$_{ m QD}$	±.04	±.84

#### Beam Diameters

	Inject	ed	Stack	æd
	Horiz. (mm)	Vert. (mm)	Horiz. (mm)	Vert. (mm)
QF	17.1	5.5	20.1	5.5
B11	14.8	7.4	17.6	7.4
B12	13.1	8.5	15.7	8.5
B21	11.5	9.6	13.7	9.6
B22	11.1	10.7	11.9	10.7
QD	8.2	11.6	9.8	11.6

# Vertical Space Required for Vacuum Chamber and Insulation

6 mm total

# Space Required Between Injected and Stacked Beams

$_{ m QF}$	16.0 mm
B11	15.1
B12	13.5
B21	11.6
B22	9.8
$_{ m QD}$	8.4

The width of the good-field region is obtained by adding the horizontal injected beam diameter, the space required between injected and stacked beams, the horizontal stacked beam diameter and the space allotted for beam displacement and growth. Single particle detuning by the required  $10^{15}$  particles determines the average vertical aperture, 1.125 in.  $(B_1)$  and 1.500 in.  $(B_2)$  being chosen to tailor the apertures to the beam size in the circumstance that the beam fills the aperture.

### Required Magnet Apertures

	Good Field Width (in.)	Vert. Gap (in.)
QF	2.875	1.125
B11	2.561	1.125
B12	2.290	1.125
B21	2.006	1.500
B22	1.406	1.500
QD	1.461	1.500
For construction choose		
B <sub>1</sub>	2.625	1.125
B <sub>2</sub>	2.000	1.500

Space Charge Limit ( $\beta_{AV}$  = 19.56 m,  $X_p(AV)$  = 1.22 m)

$$a = \sqrt{1.949 \times 19.56 + 1.22} = 7.39 \text{ mm}$$

$$b = \sqrt{.916 \times 19.56} = 4.23 \text{ mm}$$

$$\langle 1/H^2 \rangle = 1/2 \left( \frac{1}{(2.2575)^2} + \frac{1}{(3.21)^2} \right); H = 2.61 \text{ cm}$$
 $\langle 1/G^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2 \times 2.0} \left( \frac{1}{(2.8575)^2} + \frac{1}{(3.81)^2} \right); G = 4.57 \text{ cm}$ 

N (Incoh. - Transv.) =  $1.6 \times 10^{15}$  particles.

# Magnet Design

For a rough design one may utilize the properties of a semi-infinite condenser. A uniform vertical field above the equipotential surface,

V = 1/2 Vo, where Vo is potential of semi-infinite plate matches the field distribution below this equipotential surface. More suitable termination following the method used for the booster magnet design will permit a narrower pole width for the same gradient tolerance. Experience with the booster magnet design is used to modify the pole widths calculated from the semi-infinite condenser approach.

### Allowed Quadrupole and Sextupole Tolerances

A gradient Hy' in the bending magnets introduces a betatron tune shift of

$$\Delta \nu = \frac{2 \times 72 \times 1.8375 \text{ Hy'}}{4 \pi \times 68.34 \times 20} (31.45 + 25.09 + 19.67 + 14.99) = 0.57 \text{ Hy'}.$$

-7-

For  $\Delta v = 0.1$ ,

$$Hy' = .175 kG/m$$
.

At the location for which the semi-infinite condenser problem yields this gradient, one finds

$$Hy'' = 19 kG/m (B_1); 14 kG/m (B_2)$$

These may be adopted as the maximum quadrupole and sextupole effects introduced by the bending magnet. Better pole designs will permit one to achieve these values with narrower poles.

## Pole Widths

Using the semi-empirical procedures indicated, one determines that the pole widths at the base of the pole may be taken as

W = 6.00 in. 
$$(B_1)$$
; 6.50 in.  $(B_2)$ .

### Results

Table I includes the magnet design data for the case described here. Simple magnetic circuit calculations using C1010 steel have been used to estimate the required excitations. Note that one coil surrounds two magnets. The coil design is considered to be reasonable but not necessarily optimum. A current density of about 3500 A/in. has been used as a guide in determining reasonable power levels. Figures 1 and 2 show the magnet and coil cross sections for the cases described.

### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>E. Keil, Aperture Requirements at Injection into NAL Storage Ring,
  National Accelerator Laboratory Internal Report FN-169 (Aug. 27, 1968).
- <sup>2</sup>A. van Steenbergen, NAL Storage Ring Study, Aug. 1968.
- <sup>3</sup>E. Keil, NAL Storage Ring Study, Aug. 1968.
- <sup>4</sup>E. Keil, CERN Report AR/Int. SG/65-3 (Feb. 10, 1965).
- <sup>5</sup>L. J. Laslett, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Internal Report UCID-10158 (May,1965).
- <sup>6</sup>Lloyd Smith, private communication.

Table I. Storage Ring Magnet Parameters

	0 - 0				
	B <sub>11</sub>	B <sub>12</sub>	B <sub>21</sub>	B <sub>22</sub>	
Magnet Length (m)	1.8375	1.8375	1.8375	1.8375	
B at Magnet Center (kG)	20.0				
B at Good Field Edge (kG/m),	0.175	0.175			
B" at Good Field Edge (kG/m <sup>2</sup> )					
	,	-,,,		0	
Magnet Width (in.)	22.50	22,50	26.75	26.75	
Magnet Height (in.)	13.75	13.75			
Pole Width (in.)	6.00	6.00	6.50		
Magnet Gap (in.)			1.50		
Good Field Width (in.)	2.625	2.625	2.00	2.00	
Coil Window Width (in.)	4.125	4.125	5.50	5.50	
Coil Window Height (in.)	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	
Coil Turns	48		64		
Conductor Width (in.)	. 62	5	. 62	:5	
Conductor Height (in.)	. 62	5	. 62	5	
Conductor Hole Dia. (in.)	. 37	5	. 37	5	
Conductor Corner Radius (in.)	. 06	<b>2</b> 5	. 06	25	
Spacing Between Cond. (in.)	. 053		. 053		
Conductor Current (A)	105	8	105	8	
Resistance (ohms)	. 04	23	. 05	73	
Power (kW)	47.	3	64.	2	
Inductance (H)	. 05	44	. 08	19	
Stored Energy (kJ)	30.	4	45.	8	
Cooling Water Press. (psi)	200	. 0	200	0, 0	
No. Water Paths/Coil	4.0		4.0	)	
Water Temp. Rise (°C)	14.	0	22.	0	
Magnet Iron Weight (lbs)	5211	5211	6569	6569	
Copper Coil Weight (lbs)	142	5	193	3	
Stacked Beam Sp. Ch. Lim. (1	0 <sup>15</sup> )	1.	6		
Total Mag. Wt. (2 Rings-Tons)		. 33	392		
Total Copper Wt. (2 Rings-Tons)		484			
Total Power (2 Rings-MW)		32	2. 1		
Total Stored Energy (2 Rings -1	MJ)		1.9		
Total Water Flow (2 Rings-GP)			929		



